

Docket No.: 202720US2

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22313

RE: Application Serial No.: 09/776,688

Applicants: Guy COLINART, et al.

Filing Date: February 6, 2001

For: HIGH VOLTAGE RESISTANCE, PARTICULARLY

FOR CURRENT LIMITATION IN A MICROWAVE

PROGRESSIVE WAVE TUBE EMITTER

Group Art Unit: 2832

Examiner: LEE, KYUNG S.

SIR:

Attached hereto for filing are the following papers:

RESPONSE TO PTO COMMINICATION

COPY OF PTO COMMUNCATION RECEIVED APRIL 15, 2004 COPY OF PTO COVER LETTER PREVIOUSLY FILED JUNE 30, 2003 COPY OF DATE-STAMPED FILING RECEIPT DATED JUNE 30, 2003

COPY OF NOTICE OF ABANDONMENT DATED MAY 19, 2003 COPY OF REQUEST FOR WITHDRAWAL OF HOLDING OF ABANDONMENT COPY OF DATE-STAMPED FILING RECEIPT DATED FEBRUARY 12, 2003

COPY OF CANCELED CHECK NO. 285706 IN THE AMOUNT OF \$494.00

COPY OF AMENDMENT COVER LETTER COPY OF REQUEST FOR EXTENSION OF TIME (2ND MO.) COPY OF AMENDMENT W/MARKED-UP COPY

Our check in the amount of \$0.00 is attached covering any required fees. In the event any variance exists between the amount enclosed and the Patent Office charges for filing the above-noted documents, including any fees required under 37 C.F.R 1.136 for any necessary Extension of Time to make the filing of the attached documents timely, please charge or credit the difference to our Deposit Account No. 15-0030. Further, if these papers are not considered timely filed, then a petition is hereby made under 37 C.F.R. 1.136 for the necessary extension of time.

Respectfully submitted,

OBŁON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND, MAJER & NEUSTADA, P.C.

Eckhard H. Kuesters Registration No. 28,870

Gregory J. Maier

Customer Number 22850

Registration No. 28,870

(703) 413-3000 (phone) (703) 413-2220 (fax)

1:\USER\DGHOD\PET WTH ABAN\202720US 2ND PTO CVR LTR.DOC

1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22314 U.S.A.
TELEPHONE: 703-413-3000 FACSIMILE: 703-413-2220 www.oblon.com

OBLON

SPIVAK

McClelland

MAIER

NEUSTADT

P.C.

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

GREGORY J. MAIER (703) 413-3000 GMAIER@OBLON.COM

#20



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE

IN RE APPLICATION OF:

: ATTN:

Guy COLINART, et al.

: EXAMINER: LEE, KYUNG S.

SERIAL NO.: 09/776,688

: GROUP ART UNIT: 2832

FILED: FEBRUARY 6, 2001

TITLE: HIGH VOLTAGE RESISTANCE, PARTICULARLY FOR CURRENT LIMITATION IN A MICROWAVE PROGRESSIVE WAVE TUBE EMITTER

RESONSE TO PTO COMMUNICATION

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In response to the communication mailed from the United States Patent Office on April 15, 2004, Applicants are resubmitting the papers filed on February 12, 2003 and June 30, 2003 along with copies of date-stamped filing receipts for the same. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully requests withdrawal of holding of abandonment.

Gregory J. Maier

Registration No. 25,599 Attorney of Record

Customer Number 22850

Eckhard H. Kuesters Registration No. 28,870

(703) 413-3000 Phone (703) 413-2220 Fax GJM/dgh

I:\USER\DGHOD\PET WTH ABAN\202720US RESP 2 PTO COMM.DOC

202720455 TRADE OWER OrgUnit Fire OrgUnit, like 2644 Date Case Was Filed Load Firepower data etc for First Actio Like:03/15/99 an Art Unit into fields at Month Rig right Number of Cases to do before Case Above Compute Months to First Action-Input Data at right Operational/Application Status Currently Installed ΑU Application 09776688 is currently in Status: 161 /ABANDONED -- FAILURE TO 3131 Creation Date RESPOND TO AN as of 05/16/2003. The application is currently assigned to LEE, KYUNG Group Art Unit: 2832. The docketed class/subclass is 338/214.000. OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET **ALEXANDRIA VA 22314** Telephone: (703)413-3000 Fax: No Fax #

Team Delphi

RECEIVED: 415 0 4
OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND
MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.

DOCKETING DEPT.
Initials/Date Docketed: BUILS

Type of Resp(s): 1st to Revision

Due Date(s): 5-15-04





OBLON

SPIVAK

McClelland

MAIER

NEUSTADT

P.C.

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

Docket No.: 202720US2

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22313

RE: Application Serial No.: 09/776,688

Applicants: Guy COLINART, et al.

Filing Date: February 6, 2001

For: HIGH VOLTAGE RESISTANCE, PARTICULARLY

FOR CURRENT LIMITATION IN A MICROWAVE

PROGRESSIVE WAVE TUBE EMITTER

Group Art Unit: 2832

Examiner: LEE, KYUNG S.

SIR:

Attached hereto for filing are the following papers:

REQUEST FOR WITHDRAWAL OF ABANDONMENT COPY OF DATE-STAMPED FILING RECEIPT DATED FEBRUARY 12, 2003 COPY OF AMENDMENT W/MARKED-UP COPY and COVER LETTER **COPY OF REQUEST FOR FOR EXTENSION OF TIME (2 MONTHS)** COPY OF CANCELED CHECK NO. 285706 IN THE AMOUNT OF \$494.00 COPY OF NOTICE OF ABANDONMENT DATED MAY 19, 2003

Our check in the amount of \$0.00 is attached covering any required fees. In the event any variance exists between the amount enclosed and the Patent Office charges for filing the above-noted documents, including any fees required under 37 C.F.R 1.136 for any necessary Extension of Time to make the filing of the attached documents timely, please charge or credit the difference to our Deposit Account No. 15-0030. Further, if these papers are not considered timely filed, then a petition is hereby made under 37 C.F.R. 1.136 for the necessary extension of time. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,

Gregory J. Maier

Registration No. 25,599

(703) 413-3000 (phone) (703) 413-2220 (fax) I:\user\DGHOD\PET WTH ABAN\202720US PTO CVR. LTR.DOC

1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22314 U.S.A. TELEPHONE: 703-413-3000 FACSIMILE: 703-413-2220 WWW.OBLON.COM



Dept.: E/M

OSMM&N File No. 202720US2

By: MJS/dgh

Serial No. <u>09/776,688</u>

In the matter of the Application of: Guy COLINART, et al.

. For: <u>HIGH VOLTAGE RESISTANCE</u>, <u>PARTICULARLY FOR CURRENT</u>
<u>LIMITATION IN A MICROWAVE PROGRESSIVE WAVE TUBE EMITTE</u>

Due Date: 7-19-03

The following has been received in the U.S. Patent Office on the date stamped herec

- . Letter (cover)
- . Request for Withdrawal of Holding of Abandonment
- Copy of Date-Stamped filing receipt dated February 12, 2003
- Copy of Amendment w/Marked-Up Copy and Cover Letter
- Copy of Request for Extension of Time (2 Months)
- Copy of Canceled Check No. 285706 in the Amount of \$494.00
- Copy of Notice of Abandonment dated May 19, 2003

I:\USER\DGHOD\PET WTH ABAN\202720US W HABAN FR.DOC







United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	LICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED IN		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/776,688	/776,688 02/06/2001 Guy Colinart		202720US2	5315		
22850 7	7590 05/19/2003					
		D, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.	EXAM	NER		
1940 DUKE S' ALEXANDRI		IPE	LEE, KY	UNG S		
	. (36	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	la MA	y 1 7 2004 E	2832			
	A REAL PROPERTY OF THE PROPERT	BADEMARY	DATE MAILED: 05/19/2003			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Due Date(s): __

RECEIVED:
OBL ON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND
MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.
DOCKETING DEPT.

Initials/Date Docketed:
Type of Resp(s):

RECEIVED:
OBL ON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND
MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.

DOCKETING DEPT.

Initials/Date Docketed:
Type of Resp(s):
Ret to will Alam.



TRADENORIE	Application No.	Applicant(s)
otice of Abandonment	09/776,688	COLINART ET AL.
onde of Albandonment	Examiner	Art Unit
	Richard K Lea	2822

No -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the coyér sheet with the correspondence address--This application is abandoned in view of: 1. Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office letter mailed on ___ (a) A reply was received on _____ (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated _____), which is after the expiration of the period for reply (including a total extension of time of _____ month(s)) which expired on ____ (b) A proposed reply was received on _____, but it does not constitute a proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection. (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114). (c) A reply was received on _____ but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the nonfinal rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below). (d) No reply has been received. 2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on _____ (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated _), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). (b) The submitted fee of \$____ is insufficient. A balance of \$____ is due. The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$____. The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$____. (c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received. 3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37). (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on _____ (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated _____), which is after the expiration of the period for reply. (b) No corrected drawings have been received. 4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants. 5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. 6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on _____ and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims. 7. The reason(s) below: **ELVIN ENAD** SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.





UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. 80x1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Fax Cover Sheet

To: Ms. Army Mahaffey	From: Richard K. Lee Art Unit: 2832			
Application/Control Number: 09/776,688				
Fax No.: (703) 413-2220	Phone No.: (703) 306-9060 Return Fax No.: CC:			
Volce No.: (703) 413-2928				
Re:				
	nt PTO-1432.			
Comments: Please disregard the faxed Notice of Abandonmer Somy for the inconvenience. Here is the correct Notice of September 12, 2002.	nt PTO-1432. otice of Abandonment, for Box 1, with Official letter mailed o			

Number of pages 2 including this page

STATEMENT OF CONFIDENTIALITY

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.

This facsimile transmission is an Official U.S. Government document which may contain information which is privileged and confidential. It is intended only for use of the recipient named above. If you are not the intended recipient, any dissemination, distribution or copying of this document is strictly prohibited. If this document is received in error, you are requested to immediately notify the sender at the above indicated telephone number and return the entire document in an envelope addressed to:

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria VA 22313-1450



	OIPE
PA	MAY 1 7 2004
	BADEMARKON

	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
	09/776,688	COLINART ET AL.						
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit						
·	Dichard K. Lee	2832						
THE LANGE DATE of this communication non	Richard K. Lee	L						
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address-								
This application is abandoned in view of:								
 Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) A reply was received on (with a Certificate of N	month(s)) which expired on							
(b) A proposed reply was received on but it does	not constitute a proper reply under 3	COR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection.						
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114).								
(c) A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).								
(d) ☑ No reply has been received.		•						
Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee an from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-6).	851							
(a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).								
(b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is Insufficient. A balance	e of \$ is due.	CCD 4 40/4) in #						
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$	The publication fee, if required by 37	CFR 1.18(a), 15 \$						
(c) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has n	ot been received.							
Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as req Allowability (PTO-37).								
(a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on after the expiration of the period for reply.	_ (with a Certificate of Mailing or Tra	nsmission dated), which is						
(b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.		•						
4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the applicants.								
5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.								
 The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims. 								
7. The reason(s) below:		•						
11								
Galden								
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withd	raw the holding of abandonment under 3	7 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to						
minimize any negative effects on patern term.	e of Abandonment	Part of Paper No. 16						





IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

IN RE APPLICATION OF:

Guy COLINART, et al.

: EXAMINER: LEE, KYUNG S.

SERIAL NO: 09/776,688

: GROUP ART UNIT: 2832

FILED: FEBRUARY 6, 2001

TITLE: HIGH VOLTAGE RESISTANCE, PARTICULARLY FOR CURRENT LIMITATION IN MICROWAVE PROGRESSIVE WAVE TUBE EMITTER

REQUEST FOR WITHDRAWAL OF HOLDING OF ABANDONMENT

Commissioner for Patents Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Responsive to the Notice of Abandonment dated May 19, 2003, Applicants herewith request withdrawal of said abandonment for the following reasons.

An Office Action was mailed by the Examiner on September 12, 2002, with a shortened statutory period of 3 months, to expire on December 12, 2002. An Amendment (with a marked-up copy) was filed in response to that action, with a Petition for a 2-Month Extension of Time, on February 12, 2003. Enclosed herewith is a copy of the filing receipt, duly date-stamped by the Patent Office on February 12, 2003, evidencing the filing thereof. We are also including a copy of the cancelled Check No. 285706 for the amount of \$494.00 evidencing payment for the 2 Month Extension of Time and Additional Independent Claims. In order to expedite prosecution, Applicants' Attorney is submitting signed copies of the documents indicated above.

2

It is believed that the above discussion and documents enclosed herewith clearly prove that a response to the Office Action was timely filed, and therefore, the holding of abandonment was issued in error. Accordingly, it is requested the holding of abandonment be withdrawn and that prosecution be resumed in the present application.

The undersigned declares further that all statements made herein of his own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment or both under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issuing thereon.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.

Gregory J. Maier

Registration No. 25,599 Attorney of Record

22850

Tel: (703) 413-3000 Fax: (703) 413-2220

GJM/dgh/jrn

I:\USER\DGHOD\PET WTH ABAN\202720US FAIL ANS OA.DOC



Dept.: E/M

By: GJM:SNS:TJM:psn

√ OSMM&N File No. <u>202720US-2</u>

- Serial No. 09/776,688

In the matter of the Application of: Guy COLINART, et al.

.For: HIGH VOLTAGE RESISTANCE, PARTICULARLY FOR CURRENT LIMITATION IN A MICROWAVE PROGRESSIVE WAVE TUBE EMITTER

Due Date: February 12, 2003

The following has been received in the U.S. Patent Office on the date stamped hereon:

- Dep. Acct. Order Form
- ✓ Check for \$494.00
- Cover Letter
- Amendment w/ Marked-Up Copy
- Petition for Extension of Time (2 Months)

I:\ATTY\TJM\202720US\AM 3 FR.DOC







FIRST UNION NATIONAL BANK OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND, VIENNA, VA 68-760/560 MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314 FOUR HUNDRED NINETY-FOUR AND 00/100 DOLLARS DATE . AMOUNT 1 \$494.00 2/12/2003 COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS & TRADEMARKS VOID IF NOT CASHED WITHIN 90 DAYS 2 MO. EXT. ADD'L IND. CLAIMS. DOCKET ,10000049400 Docket No.

202720US-2

IN RE APPLICATION OF: Guy COLINART, et al.

SERIAL NO: 09/776,688

RCE FILED: August 27, 2002

FOR:

HIGH VOLTAGE RESISTANCE, PARTICULARLY FOR CURRENT LIMITATION IN A MICROWAVE

PROGRESSIVE WAVE TUBE EMITTER

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS WASHINGTON, D.C. 20231

SIR:

Transmitted herewith is an amendment in the above-identified application.

- No additional fee is required
- ☐ Small entity status of this application under 37 C.F.R. §1.9 and §1.27 is claimed.
- Additional documents filed herewith: Marked-Up Copy, Petition for Extension of Time (2 Months)

The Fee has been calculated as shown below:

CLAIMS	CLAIMS REMAINING		HIGHEST NUMBER PREVIOUSLY PAID	NO. EXTRA CLAIMS	RATE			CALCULATIONS		
TOTAL	29	MINUS	30	0	х	\$18	=	\$0.00		
INDEPENDENT	4	MINUS	3	1	х	\$84	=	\$84.00		
		☐ MULTIPL	E DEPENDENT	CLAIMS	+	\$280	=	\$0.00		
	TOTAL OF ABOVE CALCULATIONS				\$84.00					
		☐ Reduction	by 50% for filing	by Small Entity				\$0.00		
		☐ Recordatio	n of Assignment		+	\$40	=	\$0.00		
						ТОТ	AL	\$84.00		

- A check in the amount of \$494.00 is attached.
- Please charge any additional Fees for the papers being filed herewith and for which no check is enclosed herewith, or credit any overpayment to deposit Account No. 15-0030. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.
- If these papers are not considered timely filed by the Patent and Trademark Office, then a petition is hereby made under 37 C.F.R. §1.136, and any additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. §1.136 for any necessary extension of time may be charged to Deposit Account No. 15-0030. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,

Gregory J. Maier

Registration No.

25,599

Surinder Sachar

Registration No.

34,423

22850

Customer Number 22850 Tel. (703) 413-3000 Fax. (703) 413-2220 (OSMMN 10/01) I:\ATTY\TJM\202720US\AM 3 CVR.DOC Docket No.

202720US-2

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

IN RE APPLICATION OF: Guy COLINART, et al.

SERIAL NO: 09/776,688

GAU:

2832

FILED:

February 6, 2001

EXAMINER: K. Lee

FOR:

HIGH VOLTAGE RESISTANCE, PARTICULARLY FOR CURRENT LIMITATION IN A

MICROWAVE PROGRESSIVE WAVE TUBE EMITTER

REQUEST FOR EXTENSION OF TIME UNDER 37 C.F.R. 1.136

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS WASHINGTON, D.C. 20231

SIR:

It is hereby requested that a two month extension of time be granted to February 12, 2003 for

filing a	response to	the Official	Action dated:	September	12,	2002

- responding to the requirements in the Notice of Allowability dated:
- filing the Formal Drawings. The Issue Fee due has been timely filed.
- responding to the Notice to File Missing Parts of Application dated:
- filing a Notice of Appeal. A timely response to the final rejection, due has been filed.
- filing an Appeal Brief. A Notice of Appeal was filed on:

Applicant claims small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27. Therefore, the fee amount shown below is reduced by one-half.

The required fee of \$410.00 is enclosed herewith by check and any further charges may be made against the Attorney of Record's Deposit Account No. 15-0030. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

Respectfully Submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.

MAIER & NEUSTADT, P

Gregory J. Maier

Registration No. 25,599

Surinder Sachar

Registration No. 34,423

22850 Tel. (703) 413-3000

Fax. (703) 413-2220 (OSMMN 10/01)

I:\ATTY\TJM\202720US\AM 3 EOT.DOC



202720US-2

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE

IN RE APPLICATION OF

:

GUY COLINART ET AL.

: EXAMINER: LEE, K. S.

SERIAL NO: 09/776,688

RCE FILED: AUGUST 27, 2002

: GROUP ART UNIT: 2832

FOR: HIGH VOLTAGE RESISTANCE, :

PARTICULARLY FOR CURRENT LIMITATION IN A MICROWAVE PROGRESSIVE WAVE TUBE

EMITTER

<u>AMENDMENT</u>

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS WASHINGTON, D.C. 20231

SIR:

In response to the Official Action dated September 12, 2002, please consider the remarks below and amend the above-identified patent application as follows:

IN THE CLAIMS

Please cancel Claims 15-17 without prejudice

Please amend Claims 1 and 18 to read as follows:¹

1. (Three Times Amended) A high voltage resistance, comprising:

at least one support and a flat conductor with length L, width ℓ and a thickness e fixed to the support and with a given resistivity ρ ,

¹Marked-Up Copy is attached hereto.

wherein the value R of the resistance being equal to $\rho L/\ell e$, the value of the length L, width ℓ , and the thickness e being defined such that a mass of the flat conductor can resist electrical arcing up to 300°C.

18. (Amended) A high voltage resistance, comprising:

at least one support and a flat conductor with length L, width ℓ and thickness e fixed to the support and with a given resistivity ρ ,

wherein the value R of the resistance being equal to $\rho L/\ell e$, the value of the length L, width ℓ , and the thickness e being defined such that a mass of the flat conductor can resist electrical arcing up to 300°C,

wherein said resistance is folded on itself.

Please add new Claims 31 and 32 as follows:

31. (New) A high voltage resistance, comprising:

at least one support and a flat conductor with length L, width ℓ and a thickness e fixed to the support and with a given resistivity ρ ,

wherein the value R of the resistance being equal to $\rho L/\ell e$, the value of the length L, width ℓ , and the thickness e being defined such that a mass of the flat conductor can resist electrical arcing, and

wherein the flat conductor is in the shape of a coil.

32. (New) A high voltage resistance, comprising:

at least one support and a flat conductor with length L, width ℓ and thickness e fixed to the support and with a given resistivity ρ ,

wherein the value R of the resistance being equal to $\rho L/\ell e$, the value of the length L, width ℓ , and the thickness e being defined such that a mass of the flat conductor can resist electrical arcing,

wherein said resistance is folded on itself and the flat conductor is in the shape of a coil.

<u>REMARKS</u>

Favorable reconsideration of this application in light of the following discussion is respectfully requested.

Claims 1-14 and 18-32 are presently active in this case. The present amendment amends Claims 1 and 18 and adds new Claims 31 and 32. Claims 15-17 are canceled by way of the present amendment. The above amendment shows the amended claims in clean form, the attachment shows a marked-up copy for the Examiner's convenience.

In the outstanding Office Action Claims 1-3 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as anticipated by Roberts (GB 2032460A). Claims 4-6 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as unpatentable over Roberts in view of Sugawara (U.S. 4,553,125). Claim 7 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as unpatentable over Roberts in view of Sugawara as applied to Claim 6 above, and further in view of Drekmeier (U.S. 5,581,227). Claims 8-13 and 18-30 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as unpatentable over Roberts and Sugawara in view of Drekmeier as applied to Claim 7 above, and further in view of Laisi (U.S. 3,645,580).

In response to the Restriction Requirement being made final, Claims 15-17, directed to the non-elected invention, are canceled. Applicants reserve the right to present claims directed to the non-elected inventions in a divisional application, which shall be subject to the prohibition of the third sentence of 35 U.S.C. § 121 against the use of "a patent issuing on an

application with respect to which a requirement for restriction under this section has been made... as a reference... against a divisional application."²

In order to clarify Applicant's invention and to vary the scope of protection recited in the claims, new Claims 31 and 32 are added. New Claims 31 and 32 find support in the disclosure as originally filed. Specifically, new Claim 31 is a combination of claim 1 and 21 and Claim 32 is a combination of claim 18 and 21. New claims 31 and 32 do not have specific temperature limitation regarding resisting electrical arcing. New claims 31 and 32 are not believed to raise a question of new matter.

In response to the rejection of Claims 1-3 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b), Applicants respectfully traverse these rejections as discussed next.

Claims 1 and 18 have been amended to read "a mass of the flat conductor can resist electrical arcing up to 300°C". The Roberts patent discloses an operating temperature of the disclosed conductor from 20 to 50°C.³ Thus, Roberts does not disclose that "a mass of the flat conductor can resist electrical arcing up to 300°C". In view of the above, the cited prior art fails to teach or suggest every feature recited in Applicants' claims, as amended. Claims 1-14 and 18-30 are thereby believed to be patentably distinguishable over the cited prior art.

Now turning to the rejections of Claims 4-13 and 18-30 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a), those rejections are also traversed. In light of the discussion above, these rejections are rendered moot. Therefore, even if the combination of applied references is assumed to be proper against the claims as amended, the combination fails to teach every element of the

²See 35 U.S.C. § 121. See also MPEP § 804.01.

³See Roberts page 2, line 24.

claimed invention. Accordingly, Applicants submit that Claims 1-14 and 18-30 patentably distinguish over the prior art.

Consequently, in view of the present amendment, no further issues are believed to be outstanding in the present application, and the present application is believed to be in condition for a formal allowance. A Notice of Allowance for Claims 1-14 and 18-32 is earnestly solicited.

Should the Examiner deem that any further action is necessary to place this application in even better form for allowance, he or she is encouraged to contact Applicants' undersigned representative at the below listed telephone number.

Finally, the attention of the Patent Office is directed to the change of address of Applicants' representative, effective January 6, 2003:

Oblon, Spivak, McClelland, Maier & Neustadt, P.C.

1940 Duke Street

Alexandria, VA 22314.

Please direct all future communications to this new address.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,

MAJER & NEUSTADT, P.C

Gregory J. Maier Registration No. 25,599 Attorney of Record Surinder Sachar

Registration No. 34,423

22850

(703) 413-3000

Fax #: (703)413-2220

GJM:SNS/smi

I:\atty\Tjm\202720US\202720us-af.wpd

202720US-2

Marked-Up Copy

Serial No: <u>09/776,688</u>

Amendment Filed on: February 12, 2003

IN THE CLAIMS

Please amend the claims as follows:

--1. (Three Times Amended) A high voltage resistance, comprising:

at least one support and a flat conductor with length L, width ℓ and a thickness e fixed to the support and with a given resistivity ρ ,

wherein the value R of the resistance being equal to $\rho L/\ell e$, the value of the length L, width ℓ , and the thickness e being defined such that a mass of the flat conductor <u>can</u> [resists] resist electrical arcing <u>up to</u> [without exceeding] 300°C.

Claims 15-17 (Canceled).

18. (Amended) A high voltage resistance, comprising:

at least one support and a flat conductor with length L, width ℓ and thickness e fixed to the support and with a given resistivity ρ ,

wherein the value R of the resistance being equal to $\rho L/\ell e$, the value of the length L, width ℓ , and the thickness e being defined such that a mass of the flat conductor <u>can</u> [resists] resist electrical arcing [without exceeding a given temperature] <u>up to 300°C</u>,

wherein said resistance is folded on itself .--

31-32. (New).